

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,875		10/23/2001	John D. Holder	000052/26515	5192	
321	7590	06/04/2003				
		RS LEAVITT AN	EXAMINER			
ONE METH		AN SQUARE	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M			
ST LOUIS,	MO 631	02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	R. J				
			HOLDER, JOHN D.					
•	10/036,875		Art Unit					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	und.	1765					
_	Robert M Kunemu	sheet with the	correspondence addres	is				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	Trial 410						
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXP	IRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM	1				
THE MAILING DATE OF This extensions of 37 CFR 1.1 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply size in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and the period for reply will, by statute the provisions of 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly within the statutory min within the statutory min will apply and will expire te, cause the application to against the grant of this communication to the state of this communication.	nimum of thirty (30) da SIX (6) MONTHS fro	ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Snal						
This action is FINAL. 2b)	I his action is non .	c al mottore	prosecution as to the i	merits is				
closed in accordance with the pro-	2a) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the matter of the matter o							
Disposition of Claims								
an interest panding in the application	rawn from conside	eration.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	., =							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
- : :n/arc objected to	nd/or election requi	irement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	iaioi diddadii idqa							
Application Papers	niner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) obj	jected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection is	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	er				
drowing correction tiled oil _	13. 4/65		approved by the Examin					
Is approved, corrected drawings are required	111.0F.3	e action.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
				·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Como * c\ None oi								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ıments have been	received.						
a) All b) Some comments have been received. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents and the certified copies of the priority documents and the copies of the certified copies of the priority documents and the certified copies of the priority documents and the certified copies of the certified copi							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority diseases here received.								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional 11. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
15) X Acknowledgment is			Cummon (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	-948) г No(s) 3 .	4) Interview 5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application ((PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (** 1905) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	······································		Part of Paper N	. 5				

Application/Control Number: 10/036,875

Art Unit: 1765

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 to 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,344,083. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences between the instant claims and the patented claims are the pressure and gas content. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentations the optimum, operable gas content and process conditions in the patented claims in order to control the insoluble gas content while not introducing impurities into the melt by have pure gases.

Claims 1 to 30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 50 to 72 of copending Application No. 09/943,600. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims is the evacuation of the chamber. However, in the absence of unexpected

Application/Control Number: 10/036,875

Art Unit: 1765

results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentations the optimum, operable means to remove the unwanted gas in the chamber prior to filling the chamber with the wanted gases.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 703-308-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 703-308-3636. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3599 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

RMK June 2, 2003

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER